

Examination Committee Form

| Student: | Examiner: | |
|-------------|------------|-------|
| Supervisor: | Signature: | Date: |

Ph.D. RECLASSIFICATION/QUALIFYING EXAM EVALUATION

This exam is to assess the prospects of the student successfully completing the Ph.D. program.

Criteria for passing the exam

- Demonstration of knowledge of relevant background literature and the integration into the written proposal;
- Defense of proposal, i.e. demonstration that proposed experiments are well conceived and have a reasonable chance of substantially advancing our understanding, showing consideration of the limitations and controls, and discussion of the significance of preliminary or anticipated results;
- Presentation of experimental results showing an ability to design and to execute well-controlled experiments and to interpret the results;
- Demonstration of general knowledge such as is required in the Recent Advances courses.

Evaluation

A) With these criteria in mind, please evaluate the students using the score sheet below. We would expect a student who is undergoing a transfer exam to score between values of 5-8, although exceptional (or poor) performance in any particular category may result in a score that is above or below the expected range.

| Expectation Level For; | Pre-MSc; Pre- Transfer | | | MSc/Transfer exam completion | | | PhD completion | | Specific Comments/Concerns | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|----------------|---|----------------------------|----|--|
| Progress: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | |
| Technical Skills | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Understanding of Literature | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oral Communication | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Written Communication | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Independence & Work ethic | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Critical Analysis | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Research Progress | | | | | | | | | | | |



| , | ity of the written proposal. If you are the supervisor or a committee member, did the (s) require significant editing/oversight? |
|---------------------------|--|
| C) Any other comments i | not covered in the above score sheet: |
| D) In my opinion, the stu | dent: |
| 1) Demo | onstrates the potential to successfully complete the Ph.D. program |
| | nd/or |
| - | leficiencies and: |
| | he student should modify the proposal within three months, but no re-examination is equired |
| b. T | he student should be re-examined within three months |
| | he student should not continue at this time in the Ph.D. program but should be encouraged to omplete the M.Sc. degree |
| | he student is unsuited for either the Ph.D. or M.Sc. programs in Immunology and should rithdraw |
| E) If you answered (1) al | bove, please provide a date for the next committee meeting |
| (needs to take place no n | nore than 12 months from now): |
| Graduate Coordinator | 's Signature: Date: |